

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 137 (2006) 150-165

www.elsevier.com/locate/agrformet

Seasonal variation in carbon dioxide exchange over a 200-year-old Chinese broad-leaved Korean pine mixed forest

Jun-Hui Zhang^{a,*}, Shi-Jie Han^a, Gui-Rui Yu^b

^a Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, China ^b Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China Received 29 December 2003; received in revised form 24 May 2005; accepted 14 February 2006

Abstract

Long-term measurement of carbon metabolism of old-growth forests is critical to predict their behaviors and to reduce the uncertainties of carbon accounting under changing climate. Eddy-covariance technology was applied to investigate the long-term carbon exchange over a 200-year-old Chinese broad-leaved Korean pine mixed forest of Forest Ecosystem Open Research Station of Changbai Mountains ($128^{\circ}28'E$ and $42^{\circ}24'N$, Jilin Province, PR China), Chinese Academy of Sciences, since August 2002. This paper reports the result on (1) phase and amplitude of ecosystem CO₂ uptake and release and (2) sink/source status on the data obtained with open-path eddy-covariance system and CO₂ profile measurement system from August 2002 to August 2003. Corrections due to storage and friction velocity were applied to the eddy carbon flux. Behavior of pressure flux, neglected in common WPL correction, was analyzed to develop acceptable u_* range in dormant periods.

The ecosystem was a net sink of atmospheric CO₂ and sequestered -308 ± 116 g C m⁻² during the study period. The estimates of gross carbon gain and loss at this forest were -1432 ± 216 and -1124 ± 181 g C m⁻² separately. The seasonal trend of gross primary productivity (F_{GPP}) and respiration (R_E) followed closely the change in vegetation absorption index (V_{AI}) and temperature. The summer is the most significant season as far as ecosystem carbon balance is concerned. The net ecosystem exchange (F_{NEE}) during this period was about -298.0 ± 65.2 g C m⁻². The 90 days of summer contributed 67.0% of F_{GPP} 58.9% of R_E of whole year. This study shows that old-growth forest can be strong net carbon sink of atmospheric CO₂.

There are uncertainties in estimate of annual carbon fluxes with eddy-covariance method. More work on advection and pressure fluxes is warranted.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Net ecosystem exchange; Gross primary production; Ecosystem respiration; Old-growth forest; Eddy-covariance; Friction velocity

1. Introduction

Forests have been proposed as possible sinks of the 'missing' atmospheric carbon that is not accounted for by global carbon cycle models (Tans et al., 1990; Francey et al., 1995; Keeling et al., 1996; Fan et al., 1998). While young and recovering forest have obvious

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 24 83970343;

fax: +86 24 83970300.

potential as carbon sinks, forests older than approximately 100 years are thought to be in equilibrium between carbon uptake and total ecosystem respiration (TER), sequestering little and are generally considered to be insignificant carbon sinks (Jarvis, 1989; Melillo et al., 1996).

In contrast research by Carey et al. (2001) emphasized the need to account for multiple-aged, species-diverse, mature forests in models of terrestrial carbon dynamics to approximate the global carbon budget. Several recent process studies have indicated that some old forest ecosystems do not reach a steady

E-mail address: jhzhang@iae.ac.cn (J.-H. Zhang).

^{0168-1923/\$ –} see front matter 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.02.004

Nomenclature

Meteorological variables

- L MO length scale (m)
- $P_{\rm a}$ barometric pressure (kPa)
- Q_{PPFD} photosynthetic photon flux density (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹)
- $T_{\rm a}$ air temperature (°C)
- $T_{\rm s}$ soil temperature (°C)
- u wind speed (m s⁻¹)
- u_* friction velocity (m s⁻¹)
- $V_{\rm PD}$ vapor pressure deficit (kPa)

Flux variables

- $F_{\rm GPP}$ gross primary production (g C m⁻²)
- F_{NEE} net ecosystem exchange of CO₂, calculated as the sum of the CO₂ flux determined by eddy-covariance and the CO₂ storage change in the canopy air layer (the sign convention of F_{NEE} is from the perspective of the atmosphere, i.e. a negative sign means the atmosphere is losing carbon) (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ or g C m⁻² yr⁻¹)
- F_{NPP} net primary production (g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) F_{RE} ecosystem respiration (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) or g C m⁻² yr⁻¹)
- $F_{\text{RE,day}}$ ecosystem respiration during daytime (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)
- $F_{\text{RE,night}}$ ecosystem respiration during nighttime (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)
- $F_{\text{RE},T_{\text{ref}}}$ F_{RE} at reference temperature T_{ref} (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)
- $F_{\text{WPL,M\&L}}$ WPL correction term including pressure contribution (Massman and Lee, 2002) (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)
- $R_{\rm s}$ soil respiration (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ or g C m⁻²)
- $\gamma_{\rm s}$ the contribution of soil surface efflux to ecosystem respiration

Other variables

- a_1 parameter of Eq. (6) and may be interpreted as the maximum photosynthetic uptake (mg CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)
- a_2 parameter of Eq. (6) and may be interpreted as the light level (Q_{PPFD}) corresponding to half the maximum photosynthesis rate
- $D_{\rm WG}$ annual biomass dry weight growth (g m⁻² yr⁻¹)

- parameter of Eq. (7) and can be inter- E_{a} preted as the activation energy $(J \text{ mol}^{-1})$ carbon content (%) $I_{\rm C}$ the extinction coefficient for diffuse light k the gas constant $(8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})$ R parameter of Eq. (6) and may be inter- $R_{\rm D}$ preted as the mean of daytime respiration $V_{\rm AI}$ vegetation absorption index, deduced from $Q_{\rm PPFD}$ measurements above and below canopy the height where eddy-covariance sys z_r tems installed (m) ratio of pressure flux to eddy CO₂ flux $\gamma_{\rm wc}$
- $\mu_{\rm v}$ the ratio of the molecular mass of dry air to the molecular mass of water vapor
- $\bar{\rho}_{\rm c}$ the mean ambient CO₂ density (mg m⁻³)
- $au_{\rm c}$ the canopy transmittance, estimated as the ratio of the averaged flux density measured below the canopy to the flux density measured above canopy
- $\bar{\chi}_v$ the volumetric mixing ratio for water vapor
- $\bar{\omega}_{c}$ the mean mass mixing ratio for CO₂

state carbon flux and can continue to act as a net sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide over several decades or longer (Buchmann and Schulze, 1999; Hollinger et al., 1999; Janssens et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2002). Longterm measurements of whole ecosystem carbon exchange are needed to determine the sink/source and budget status of ecosystems, and to analyze how carbon exchange varies with seasonal and interannual variation in environmental conditions. However, very few actual measurements of F_{NEE} in old forest have been conducted, and results varied depending on conditions (Hollinger et al., 1999; Anthoni et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2002; Knohl et al., 2003; Kurpius et al., 2003; Law et al., 2003; Paw and Falk, 2003). More actual measurements are needed to clarify the role of old-growth forests. Given the importance of the world's old-growth forests as a major terrestrial carbon store, the study on the dynamics of carbon stock capacity and carbon sink strength of old-growth forests will help to reduce the uncertainties in carbon accounting.

The Changbai mountain mixed broad-leaved and Korean pine forest, which is the dominant vegetation type of north-east of China (Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1980), is about 200 years old (Yang et al., 1985). In this paper, we use 1 year of eddy-covariance CO_2 fluxes over the canopy and supporting meteorological data since August 2002, as part of the ChinaFLUX project, to document: (1) phase and amplitude of ecosystem CO_2 uptake and release and (2) sink/source status of an old-growth, broad-leaved and Korean pine forest stand at Changbai.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site description

The measurements were carried out in No. 1 Plot at the Forest Ecosystem Open Research Station of Changbai Mountains ($128^{\circ}28'E$ and $42^{\circ}24'N$, Jilin Province, PR China), Chinese Academy of Sciences, since August 2002. The site provides a maximum fetch of 60 km in the E–S–W direction and a minimum of 500 m in the NE direction. The dominant wind direction is SW. There are villages and towns, but no industrial activities in the NE direction. The influence of residential activities cannot be omitted. The flux data were treated as gaps when wind blew from this direction.

The annual mean temperature is 0.9-4.0 °C, total precipitation is 600-810 mm yr⁻¹ (evaluated over a period of 20 years). The area is covered by on average 200-year-old, multi-storied, uneven-aged, multi-species mixed forest consisting of Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*), *Tilia amurensis*, *Acer mono*, *Fraxinus mandshurica*, *Quercus mongolica* and 135 other species. The mean canopy height is 26 m. A dense understory, consisting of multi-species broad-leaved shrub, has a height of 0.5-2 m. The peak leaf area index is about 6.1. The soil is classified as dark brown forest soil originating from volcanic ashes. The landscape is very flat. For advanced detailed site information see Wang (1980), Sun and Zhao (1995) and Dai et al. (2002).

2.2. Instruments and measurements

Net ecosystem exchange (NEE, or F_{NEE}) was determined from the measurements of eddy-covariance flux and CO₂ concentration profiles.

Two open-path eddy-covariance measurement systems were installed at 2.94 and 40 m separately on a 62.8 m tower. Fluctuations in CO₂/H₂O were measured by a Li7500 open-path sensor (IRGA, Li-Cor, USA) and a 3D ultrasonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific, USA) was used to measure wind velocities and temperature. Both instruments were sampled at 10 Hz. Half-hourly fluxes are calculated on-line and collected by CR5000 data logger (Campbell Scientific, USA).

Measurements with a close-path eddy-covariance system started in September 2003. Sample air is taken in at a rate of 26 l min⁻¹ near the ultrasonic anemometer at 40 m to an IRGA (Li7000, Li-Cor, USA). The 50 m long tubing is made of high-density polyethylene bonded to an overlapped aluminum tape having an ethylene copolymer coating (1/2 in. i.d.). Close-path and open-path systems are installed on the same tower and orientation. Calibration of the IRGA against standard gas is done automatically every 2 h.

A 7-level CO_2 profile is measured by a CR10X (Campbell, USA) controlled multi-port system connected to a Li820 gas analyzer. Calibration of the IRGA against standard gases is done automatically every 2 h.

Meteorological and soil sensors are sampled every 2 s and stored as half-hour statistics (CR23X, Campbell, USA). Meteorological measurements at 40 m include air temperature and relative humidity (HMP45C, Vaisala, Finland), wind speed (A100R, Vector, UK), downward/upward solar radiation and net radiation (CNR1, Kipp & Zonen, The Netherlands), and Q_{PPFD} (Li190SB, Li-Cor, USA). Precipitation (52203, Young, USA) is measured at 62.8 m. Two plates (HFP01 and HFP01SC, Hukseflux, The Netherlands) measure the heat flux at 5 cm under the soil surface. Soil water content is characterized by TDR probes (CS616, Campbell, USA).

Leaf area index was measured with an LAI-2000 canopy analyzer (Li-Cor, USA) along a 200 m transect in southwest direction from the tower weekly since May 2003. For a continuous record of canopy structure, a global vegetation absorption index (V_{AI} , Aubinet et al., 2002) was deduced from Q_{PPFD} measurements above and below canopy. Six Q_{PPFD} sensors (LQS70-10S, Apogee, USA) were installed below the forest canopy around the flux tower. The canopy transmittance (τ_c) was estimated as the ratio of the averaged flux density measured below the canopy to the flux density measured above canopy. Only measurements taken between 10:00 and 14:00 under diffuse radiation conditions were considered. The V_{AI} was deduced as

$$V_{\rm AI} = -\frac{1}{k} \log \tau_{\rm c} \tag{1}$$

where k is the extinction coefficient for diffuse light (Goudriaan and Van Laar, 1994). A full list of symbols is given in Nomenclature.

0.03

2.3. Flux calculation

2.3.1. Long-term CO_2 flux measurement theory

At present, net ecosystem CO_2 exchange (F_{NEE}) is assessed, by members of the meteorological community, as the sum of eddy-covariance measurements $(F_{\rm c})$ at height z_r and the storage of CO₂ $(F_{\rm s})$ in the underlying air (Eq. (2)). However, many reports show that this may underestimate nocturnal respiration flux densities especially during stable nighttime conditions (Baldocchi et al., 2000; Massman and Lee, 2002).

Several groups have recently addressed the advection terms by measurement or simulation (Lee, 1998; Finnigan, 1999; Baldocchi et al., 2000; Paw et al., 2000; Finnigan et al., 2003; Aubinet et al., 2003; Feigenwinter et al., 2004; Staebler and Fitzjarrald, 2004). All these studies agree that the neglected advective processes are responsible for the underestimation of nighttime fluxes, rather than instrumental or eddy flux measurements errors. The contribution of advection to the mass budget is highly variable and site-dependent.

At present there are still methodological problems and potential deficits in the estimation of the advection terms (Lee, 1998; Aubinet et al., 2003; Feigenwinter et al., 2004). Mass balance approaches are not precise enough to allow reliable flux corrections. In waiting for a physically based correction, the u_* correction seems the best compromise.

In this study, net ecosystem exchange between forest and atmosphere was assessed with the following equation:

$$F_{\rm NEE} = \int_0^{z_r} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}z + (\overline{w'c'})_r \tag{2}$$

Here, the first term on the RHS is the storage term (F_s) , the second is the eddy CO₂ flux (F_c) measured at height z_r . Subscript r denotes a quantity at eddy flux measurement height z_r . The storage term was calculated from CO₂ profile data. Due to a failure of the CO₂ profile system, the storage term from October 2002 to late March 2003 was evaluated only based on the two point CO₂ concentrations from the open-path IRGA of the eddy-covariance systems.

Standard micrometeorological software was applied to the post-processing of the flux data. The 3D sonic anemometer tilt correction (coordinate rotation) was carried out monthly with the planar fit (PFT) method (Paw et al., 2000; Wilczak et al., 2001; Finnigan, 2004). The approach of Massman (2000) and Moore (1986)



(a)

friction velocity (u_*) : (a) in peak dormant seasons (from day 330 of year 2002 to day 65 of year 2003) and (b) in peak growth seasons (from day 165 to day 215 of year 2003). Data are bin averaged with an equal number of data points per bin. Error bars are estimated confidence interval of the average.

were applied to the eddy fluxes from close-path and open-path systems separately to correct the frequency losses. Webb-Pearman-Leuning corrections (Webb et al., 1980) were applied to the eddy flux from the open-path system.

2.3.2. Friction velocity (u_{*}) correction

A common phenomenon at long-term flux sites is that turbulent CO₂ flux approaches zero as the level of turbulence, measured by the friction velocity, drops to zero (Goulden et al., 1996). Wofsy et al. (1993) and Goulden et al. (1996) suggest that biological source strength of CO₂ is not a function of air movement, implying that the storage corrected eddy flux should be independent of u_* , if the 1D approach accurately approximates the surface layer mass balance. Our data (Fig. 1a and b) and numerous other observations show however that storage correction does not bring the flux to the same level as observed at high wind conditions (Massman and Lee, 2002).

To determine turbulence conditions that would lead to acceptable nighttime flux data, the curve of nighttime respiration flux vs. measurement of turbulence $(u_*, \text{ friction velocity})$ was analyzed as shown in Fig. 1. Data were selected from the peak non-growth period (day 330 of year 2002 to day 65 of year 2003) and growth period (days 165-235 of year 2003) to minimize the effects from other variables on respiration (such as temperature, etc.). The nighttime respiration fluxes increase with u_* , reach a plateau, and then decrease with the increasing friction velocity, even become negative in the non-growth period.

In the non-growth period, a u_* threshold around 0.05 m s^{-1} (Fig. 1a) by Moving Point Test (MPT) technology (Gu et al., 2004), an automated statistical method to determine the friction velocity (u_*) thresholds in nighttime eddy flux filtering, can be applied to correct

(b)



Fig. 2. Difference between the influence of friction velocity on nighttime carbon fluxes by open-path system ($F_{c,WPL}$) and close-path system ($F_{c,cp}$) in December 2003.

the underestimation of night respiration by low turbulent exchange. This threshold is $<0.17 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for the peak growth period (Fig. 1b).

There are several causes for the decline of nighttime respiration flux under strong wind, such as 3D airflow and pressure variation etc (Massman and Lee, 2002). The big difference of performance between the WPL-corrected CO₂ eddy flux from the open-path system ($F_{c,WPL}$) and CO₂ eddy flux from the close-path system ($F_{c,cp}$) with friction velocity (Fig. 2) imply other important causes for the negative night flux besides the 3D airflow in wintertime.

Measurements and simulations show that pressure fluctuations are extremely important in the budgets of turbulent kinetic and shear stress (McBean and Elliott, 1975; Wilczak et al., 1999; Gotoh and Nakano, 2003; Miles et al., 2004), and the magnitude of pressure fluctuations increase with increasing wind velocity (McBean and Elliott, 1975; Sigmon et al., 1983). Observations in southern Wyoming USA at a height of 27.1 m above the ground over a forest of approximately 18 m height showed that pressure flux contributes significantly to the WPL term for CO_2 or any other trace gas under very turbulent atmospheric conditions (Massman and Lee, 2002), although it was always neglected (Webb et al., 1980; Fuehrer and Friehe, 2002).

Massman and Lee (2002) deduced a new WPL correction function including the pressure flux term:

$$F_{\text{WPL,M\&L}} = \bar{\rho}_{\text{c}} (1 + \bar{\chi}_{\text{v}}) \left[\frac{\overline{w'T_{a}'}}{\bar{T}_{a}} - \frac{\overline{w'p_{a}'}}{\bar{p}_{a}} \right] + \bar{\omega}_{\text{c}} \mu_{\text{v}} \overline{w'\rho_{\text{v}}'}$$
(3)

where $\bar{\rho}_c$ is the mean ambient CO₂ density; \bar{p}_a the mean ambient pressure; μ_v the ratio of the molecular mass of dry air to the molecular mass of water vapor; \bar{T}_a the mean ambient atmosphere temperature; $\bar{\chi}_v$ the volumetric mixing ratio for water vapor; and $\bar{\omega}_c$ is the mean mass mixing ratio for CO₂.

For $\overline{w'p'_a} \leq 0$, the nighttime flux will be underestimated, and the daytime flux will be overestimated under strong wind conditions without the consideration of pressure-induced flux. However, high frequency pressure variation measurements are not available in our station. To minimize the influence of pressure fluctuation, the behavior of pressure flux with increasing wind speed is to be analyzed and acceptable u_* ranges will be developed to filter flux data in the following discussion.

The measurement within and just above a wave boundary layer (WBL) by Wilczak et al. (1999) show that the non-dimensionalized pressure flux is a function of atmospheric stability expressed as z/L and that the nondimensionalized pressure flux at wave surface is the same order as that just above WBL. The response of nondimensionalized flux to z/L could be site-specific and it is impossible to be tested in our site and most long-term flux sites, but the agreement between Wilczak et al. (1999) and Massman and Lee (2002) indicates that we have at least an estimate for the order of magnitude of pressure effects in the roughness sublayer over rough surfaces.

It can be ignored that the influence of seasonal foliage development on the pressure fluctuation variance (Sigmon et al., 1983), vertical velocity fluctuation variance (Fig. 3b) and relationships between



Fig. 3. Relationships between (a) mean wind speed (u) and friction velocity (u_*); (b) friction velocity (u_*) and vertical velocity variance; (c) friction velocity (u_*) and z/L in peak dormant periods and peak growth periods. Data are bin averaged with an equal number of data points per bin.

 u_* and z/L (Fig. 3c), u and u_* (Fig. 3a). So Eq. (4) adopted from Fig. 6.2 of Wilczak et al. (1999) can be applied in different seasons:

$$\frac{\overline{w_a' P_a'}}{\rho_a u_*^3} = \xi \left(\frac{z}{L}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} -6.0 & -1 < \frac{z}{L} \le -0.5 \\ -4.41 \left(\frac{z}{L}\right)^2 + 5.67 \left(\frac{z}{L}\right) - 1.87 & -0.5 < \frac{z}{L} \le 0 \\ -1.9 & 0 < \frac{z}{L} \le 0.5 \end{cases}$$

The influence of pressure fluctuation on $\overline{w'T'_a}$ and $\overline{w'\rho'_v}$, estimated by Eqs. (1) and (2) in Massman and Lee (2002) and Eq. (4), are negligible (<1%). We define the ratio of pressure flux to eddy CO₂ flux (corrected with common WPL terms):

$$\gamma_{\rm wc} = \left| -\frac{\bar{\rho}_{\rm c}(1+\bar{\chi}_{\rm v})(\overline{w'\,p_{\rm a}'}/\bar{p}_{\rm a})}{\overline{w'\rho_{\rm c}'}} \right|$$
$$= \left| -\frac{\bar{\rho}_{\rm a}\bar{\rho}_{\rm c}u_{*}^{3}(1+\bar{\chi}_{\rm v})\xi(z/L)}{\bar{p}_{\rm a}\overline{w'\rho_{\rm c}'}} \right|$$
(5)

Fig. 4a shows the monthly averaged γ_{wc} between September 2002 and August 2003. The value of γ_{wc} is controlled by the balance between pressure flux and ecosystem activity. The seasonal course of γ_{wc} is very clear, the low ecosystem activity and high pressure flux produced high γ_{wc} in the non-growth period (October– April). The contribution of pressure variation to CO₂ flux is very significant and cannot be neglected, and the $\gamma_{wc} \sim u_*$ curve can be used to determine the u_* correction threshold value in the non-growth period (Fig. 4b). The u_* at breakpoint, determined with 1D continuous wavelet analysis (Coif 4, Mathworks), of nighttime $\gamma_{wc} \sim u_*$ curve is 0.36, in good agreement

(a)

0.6

ی %

0.2

Day Night

0.5

0.4

0.3 X^{NC}

0.2

0.

0

Fig. 4. (a) Year-long course of γ_{wc} and (b) influence of turbulence, expressed as friction velocity (u_*) on γ_{wc} in peak dormant periods (day 330 of year 2002 to day 65 of year 2003). Eqs. (4) and (5) were applied for $-1.0 \le z/L \le 0.5$ for whole day and $u_* > 0.05$ at night.

with the right edge of $(F_c + F_s) \sim u_*$ plateau in Fig. 1a. This u_* value can be used as threshold to filter influence of pressure fluctuations under strong windy circumstances. It appears that the dependence of γ_{wc} on u_* at daytime is similar to that at night and the u_* threshold for daytime is 0.37.

In the growth period, night storage-corrected respiration fluxes for $u_* > 0.4$ were lower than that for $0.17 < u_* \le 0.4$, but still fell into the latter's variation range. This decrease may due to variation of wind direction. We chose to accept all data for $u_* > 0.17$.

The nighttime CO₂ flux F_c and other terms lying in the acceptable turbulence ranges developed in above discussions, $0.05 \le u_* \le 0.36$ for dormant periods and $u_* > 0.17$ for growth periods, were reserved for further analysis. Daytime flux terms for $u_* > 0.37$ in dormant periods were filtered out to minimize the influence of pressure fluctuations.

As shown in Fig. 5, the u_* correction corrected the nighttime 'problem' of negative F_{NEE} under strong wind and made the estimates of dormant periods more ecologically reasonable. The very close daily course pattern between u_* -corrected F_{NEE} from open-path system and that from closed-path system proves that our u_* correction is reliable.

2.4. Empirical formulas and gap filling

Missing and rejected data were filled for annual integration and to provide a complete database for other usage. Several strategies were used. Data gaps of 2 h or less were filled by direct interpolation. For longer gaps, empirical formulas describing relationships between $Q_{\rm PPFD}$, soil temperature and net CO₂ flux were applied





separately for daytime (Eq. (6)) and nighttime (Eq. (7)). In cases where empirical relationships could not be developed as a result of missing meteorological data, weekly mean diurnal variations were used to fill the missing data (Falge et al., 2001).

Daytime F_{NEE} measurements were subjected to a further criterion of non-precipitating conditions, grouped by hybrid time windows, and fitted to a hyperbolic dependence on light (P_{PFD}) according to

$$F_{\rm NEE} = \frac{a_1 Q_{\rm PPFD}}{a_2 + Q_{\rm PPFD}} + R_{\rm D} \tag{6}$$

with fitted parameters a_1 , a_2 and R_D . The value of F_{NEE} at no light ($Q_{\text{PPFD}} = 0$) is estimated as R_D , and may be interpreted as the mean of daytime respiration of the time window. The maximum photosynthetic uptake is estimated as a_1 , and the light level (Q_{PPFD}) corresponding to half the maximum photosynthesis rate as a_2 .

To fill in missing data and estimate daytime ecosystem respiration, nighttime valid F_{NEE} were modeled with the following Arrhenius type function (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994):

$$F_{\text{NEE,night}} = F_{R_{\text{E}}, T_{\text{ref}}} e^{(E_{\text{a}}/R)(1/T_{\text{ref}} - 1/T_{\text{K}})}$$
(7)

Here F_{RE} , T_{ref} and E_a are the fitted parameters, E_a the activation energy in J mol⁻¹, and *R* is the gas constant (8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹). T_{ref} is reference temperature and was set to 283.15 K.

Models were fitted by the modified Levenberg– Marquardt method and parameters confidence ranges were estimated with the Monte Carlo method (Matlab, Mathworks).

2.5. Decomposition of F_{NEE} into F_{GPP} and R_E

The F_{NEE} time series were decomposed into R_{E} and F_{GPP} by Eqs. (6)–(9). Gross ecosystem exchange by primary photosynthesis (GPP or F_{GPP}) can be defined as the difference between F_{NEE} and the total ecosystem respiration (R_{E}):

$$F_{\rm GPP} = F_{\rm NEE} - R_{\rm E} \tag{8}$$

Daily ecosystem respiration $R_{\rm E}$ is composed of daytime respiration $(R_{\rm E,day})$ and nighttime respiration $R_{\rm E,night}$:

$$R_{\rm E} = R_{\rm E,night} + R_{\rm E,day} \tag{9}$$

Nighttime net exchange flux is always thought as nighttime ecosystem respiration. Temperature response

models obtained from nighttime net exchange fluxes $(R_{\text{E,night}})$ were applied in daytime to estimate daytime ecosystem respiration $(R_{\text{E,dav}})$.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Seasonal trends in meteorological variables and canopy architecture

The annual course of dominant meteorological variables is given in Fig. 6. Half-hourly averaged T_a reached 25 °C in summer and lay between 0 and -20 °C in winter between late October and early April, with peak minima to -25 °C. Due to the deep snow-cover, the soil temperature at 5 cm depth varied between 0 and -3 °C in the whole winter with several peaks close to -4 °C, far warmer than air temperature. Soil temperature than air temperature about 1 month later than air temperature, but returned to above 0 °C simultaneously with the latter.

It seems that there is no water limitation during the whole growth season. Soil water content at the depth of 50 cm was larger than the field capacity (26.9%) all year around. The surface soil (10 and 20 cm) water contents were less than wilting point (12%) only in January and February 2003. Due to the deep snow-cover, surface soil water content kept almost constant during the whole winter. The thaw in late March provided enough water for quick growth of the forest.

Daily maximum of half an hour averaged $Q_{\rm PPFD}$ reached 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in summertime and 800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in winter.

The global vegetation absorption index (V_{AI}) showed a clear seasonal trend (Fig. 7). Bud burst occurred in early May and LAI reached the maximum in mid July, and began to decrease until late October.

3.2. Response of carbon flux to environmental factors

Photosynthesis is driven by light but there were marked differences in the light response curve among seasons for the evolution of the forest canopy. Fig. 8 presents four curves representative for the seasons. At all times of the year, upward fluxes dominate at low light levels, but with increasing light give way to downward fluxes. The magnitudes of radiation, temperature and F_{NEE} are highest during summer (Fig. 8c), moderate in the spring (Fig. 8b) and autumn (Fig. 8d), and smallest in winter (Fig. 8a).

The dependence of daytime carbon exchange on light was simulated with Eq. (6) for different time



Fig. 6. Year-long course of: (a) air and soil temperature; (b) soil volume water content; (c) Q_{PPFD}; (d) rainfall and snow depth; (e) V_{PD}.

windows to minimize the confounding effects of soil water content, temperature and forest phenology (Davidson et al., 1998; Luo et al., 1996; Flanagan et al., 2002). The time window is 1 month for winter (November 2002 to March 2003), and 1 week for the rest of the time.

The a_1 in Eq. (6), which can be explained as F_{GPP} at light saturation, separated the influence of other physical variables on ecosystem CO₂ uptake from that



Fig. 7. Seasonal development of $V_{\rm AI}$ from August 2002 to August 2003.

of irradiance and is a good means to analyze the potential relationship between ecosystem carbon uptake and other physical variables. Multiple environmental variables jointly control CO_2 exchange of the ecosystem and strong interactions among these variables result in some difficulty in discerning their individual effects. To minimize the confounding among variables, partial correlation analysis was applied to separate the influence of single factors.

At seasonal scale, the variations in ecosystem CO₂ uptake were highly correlated with the variations in V_{AI} (p < 0.0001). The highest rate of F_{GPP} occurred during the period of greatest V_{AI} , and F_{GPP} declined as V_{AI} declined (Fig. 9). The strong correlation between F_{GPP} and V_{AI} has also been reported by others (Flanagan et al., 2002; Xu and Baldocchi, 2004). Partial correlation analysis between the residuals (a_1 minus a_1 predicted from the linear function driven by V_{AI}) and physical variables, including rooting zone soil water content, air and soil temperature and V_{PD} showed that rooting zone soil water content controls F_{GPP} in wintertime when air temperature was very low, V_{PD} is the one in summer when soil water was abundant and temperature was stable (Anthoni et al., 2002). The V_{PD}



Fig. 8. Example of light response curves of F_{NEE} at different growth stages from August 2002 to August 2003.



Fig. 9. The relationship between a_1 and V_{AI} .

was highest in spring and autumn and significantly influenced the ecosystem photosynthesis capacity (Table 1).

Parameter R_D in Eq. (6) can be explained as the mean of daytime respiration of each time window and

can be used to analyze the relationship between ecosystem respiration and environmental variables. Soil temperature was the only controlling factor on respiration at seasonal scale (p < 0.001). The dependence of ecosystem respiration on temperature may reflect the different temperature sensitivities for autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration and turnover times of the multiple carbon pools (Xu and Baldocchi, 2004).

Partial correlation analysis between residuals (R_D minus predicted R_D from quadratic polynomial driven by soil temperature at 5 cm deep) and other physical variables showed that surface soil moisture is one of the most important limiting factors besides soil temperature in winter, spring and autumn (Table 1). Soil temperature was the only significant driver for ecosystem respiration when surface soil water content was abundant (Table 1).

Table 1 *P* values of partial correlation analysis between a_1 residuals, R_D residuals and environmental factors

Seasons	a_1 residual	s vs.	$R_{\rm D}$ residuals vs.				
	$\overline{T_{s}}$	S_{50}	$V_{\rm PD}$	Ta	S_{10}	S ₅₀	Ta
Winter	0.65	0.008^{*}	0.95	0.99	0.033*	0.11	0.25
Summer	0.37	0.18	0.002^{*}	0.46	0.063^{*}	0.76	0.61
Spring/autumn	0.84	0.16	0.067^*	0.56	0.81	0.79	0.81

Note: T_s , soil temperature at 5 cm depth; S_{10} , soil volume water content at 10 cm depth; S_{50} , soil volume water content at 50 cm depth; V_{PD} , V_{PD} at 40 m height; T_a , atmospheric temperature at 40 m height.



Fig. 10. Seasonal course of daily-integrated F_{GPP} (a), R_{E} (b), F_{NEE} (c) and monthly averaged z (d). The stair line is the monthly mean value of the corresponding variable.

3.3. Annual course of daily-integrated flux

The measurements of F_{NEE} and calculated F_{GPP} and R_{E} were integrated daily to provide daily values of carbon exchange (Fig. 10a–c). The year can be divided into four characteristic periods in terms of F_{NEE} , we will call 'winter', 'spring', 'summer' and 'autumn' for convenience, even if they do not correspond exactly to the meteorological seasons.

During the winter, which extends from early October to late March (day 275 of year 2002 to day 85 of year 2003), the forest emitted CO_2 in general. The leaf area was constant and F_{GPP} was still less than zero, which is consistent with Fig. 8a (Zhang et al., 2003; Dolman et al., 2002). The close daily pattern between the u_* filtered flux from open-path system and flux from the closed-path system (Fig. 5) eliminated the doubt on technique, although there are physical or physiological reasons to be explored. Due to the cold weather and very low surface soil moisture from day 350 of year 2002 to day 85 of year 2003, ecosystem respiration was very weak.

During the spring, which extends from early April to late May (days 86–155 of year 2003), $G_{\rm PP}$ rapidly increased in magnitude. This is consistent with the quick increase in $V_{\rm AI}$ (Fig. 7) and temperature (Fig. 6a). The forest was a carbon source caused by the rapidly

rising temperature and surface soil moisture from day 85 to day 110 of year 2003.

Absolute values of F_{NEE} , R_{E} and F_{GPP} reached their maximum in summer, which extends from late May to late August (days 156–235 of year 2003 and days 236–243 of year 2002). The forest captured the greatest amount of CO₂ in July, but due to the higher R_{E} at the higher temperature, July was only the second strongest carbon sink month. F_{NEE} was strongest, and peaked at 6 g m⁻² day⁻¹, in June.

 F_{NEE} , F_{GPP} and R_{E} declined from the end of August to early October (days 244–274 of year 2002), the autumn, from day to day due to the cooler weather and defoliation.

The maximum daily values of $R_{\rm E}$ did not correspond to days with the highest $F_{\rm GPP}$ values. By contrast, the days with highest $R_{\rm E}$ occurred later than that of $F_{\rm GPP}$ consistent with the report of Flanagan et al. (2002).

To assess the status of ecosystem carbon balance, Falge et al. (2001) defined an index z, which can be calculated from absolute value of eddy-covariance measurements as $F_{\text{NEE}}/R_{\text{E}} + 1$ or $F_{\text{GPP}}/R_{\text{E}}$. When z > 1the system is storing carbon, when z = 1 the system is in carbon balance, and R_{H} equals F_{NPP} We calculated the index z from $F_{\text{GPP}}/R_{\text{E}}$ to observe the seasonal carbon balance of this 200-year-old mixed forest (Fig. 10d).



Fig. 11. Cumulative (a) gross ecosystem exchange (F_{GPP}), ecosystem respiration (R_{E}) and (b) net ecosystem exchange (F_{NEE}) from August 2002 to August 2003.

The z varied between 1 and 1.6 during growing seasons (late spring, summer and autumn) and between 0.5 and 1.0 in winter and early spring. The z was biggest in June and smallest in October and November. Our z values were consistent with the theoretical analysis of Falge et al. (2001). The variation of z values showed that the estimates from eddy-covariance flux correctly reflected the status of carbon metabolism in this old forest.

3.4. Estimation of seasonal and annual ecosystem uptake and release

The cumulative $F_{\text{GPP}} R_{\text{E}}$ and F_{NEE} from 24 August 2002 to 24 August 2003 are presented in Fig. 11 and Table 2. Uncertainties by gap filling and estimation of daytime respiration were estimated in a non-linear model fitting process (Matlab, Mathworks).

As shown in Fig. 11 and Table 2, the annual F_{NEE} was $-308 \pm 116 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$, the estimates of annual gross carbon gain and loss at this forest were -1432 ± 216 and $-1124 \pm 181 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ separately. Based on the cumulative F_{NEE} data, the 200-year-old growth mixed broad-leaved Korean pine forest ecosystem was a strong sink of CO₂ from August 2002 to August 2003. $F_{\text{GPP}}/R_{\text{E}}$ was about 1.27 and very close to that of Harvard forest ($42^{\circ}32'$ N, $72^{\circ}11'$, MA, USA) located at similar latitude as our site (Falge et al., 2001).

There was weak photosynthesis in wintertime, but in general the ecosystem emitted CO₂. The 180 days winter contributed 6.9% of $F_{\rm GPP}$ and 12.5% of $R_{\rm E}$ of the whole year.

Although the ecosystem carbon metabolism was very active in spring and autumn, the ecosystem sequestrated and emitted almost the same amount of carbon and contributed little to F_{NEE} of whole year. F_{NEE} in spring and autumn were -34.4 and -19.5 g C m⁻² separately.

Summer is the most significant season as far as the ecosystem carbon balance is concerned. The F_{NEE} during this period was about -298.0 ± 65.2 g C m⁻². The 90 days of summer contributed 67.0% of F_{GPP} and 58.9% of R_{E} of whole year.

The annual biomass dry weight growth (D_{WG}) , estimated from a plant productivity investigation in 1981 at a plot ca. 100 m from the eddy-covariance site, was about 2019 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Lee et al., 1981). As carbon content (I_C) varies from 40% to 50% in different plant parts (Cheng et al., 1984), the annual F_{NPP} estimated as $D_{WG} \times I_C$, was about 907 ± 101 g C m⁻².

Eddy-covariance data do not provide values of F_{NPP} . For the ratio of $F_{\text{NPP}}/F_{\text{GPP}}$ can be estimated from the biomass investigation data, we adopted a ratio α of 0.47 \pm 0.04 (Waring et al., 1998) to estimate the annual ' $F_{\rm NPP}$ ' from the eddy-covariance $F_{\rm GPP}$ with formula of $F_{\text{NPP}} = \alpha F_{\text{GPP}}$. The result was -681 $\pm 159 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ with upper boundary falling into the range by the plant productivity investigation of Lee et al. (1981). The difference between averaged $F_{\rm NPP}$ from plant productivity investigation and that from eddy-covariance was 25%. Reasons to explain the discrepancy include: (1) The F_{NPP} by eddy-covariance technology is more spatially representative than the F_{NPP} from biomass investigation of a 1 ha plot. (2) It is well known that in mature forests biomass production declines as trees age (Gower et al., 1996; Binkley et al., 2002). (3) It had been 22 years since the biomass investigation. The growth rate, although still high

161

Table 2 Annual and seasonal cumulated carbon sequestration (g C m^{-2}) (24 August 2002–24 August 2003)

Seasons	Month	$F_{\rm GPP}$	Errors	$R_{\rm E}$	Errors	$F_{\rm NEE}$	Errors
Winter	October	-38.1	13.6	62.1	23.6	24.0	5.0
	November	-9.0	6.7	16.3	6.3	7.3	3.5
	December	-10.8	5.3	13.4	6.2	2.6	1.9
	January	-11.0	7.9	13.3	7.5	2.3	2.2
	February	-10.9	6.3	11.5	5.8	0.6	1.7
	March	-18.3	7.8	25.1	9.0	6.8	2.0
	Sum	-98.1	47.6	141.7	58.4	43.6	16.3
Spring	April	-42.1	22.3	43.4	19.0	1.3	12.6
	May	-155.6	25.1	119.9	12.1	-35.7	14.1
	Sum	-197.7	47.4	163.3	31.1	-34.4	26.7
Summer	June	-318.2	26.7	204.1	18.4	-114.1	17.7
	July	-351.4	48.0	238.1	37.7	-113.2	27.6
	August	-290.3	35.3	219.7	29.7	-70.7	19.8
	Sum	-959.9	109.9	661.9	85.8	-298.0	65.2
Autumn	September	-176.2	11.4	156.7	5.9	-19.5	7.7
	Sum	-176.2	11.4	156.7	5.9	-19.5	7.7
Whole year	Sum	-1432	216	1124	181	-308	116

because dominant trees are young and productive enough (Fig. 12 and Dai et al., 2002), has declined during the 22 years.

From weekly soil respiration measurements with a chamber method at the same site, Wang et al. (2004) developed a temperature response function of soil respiration (see also in Guan et al. (2006). Annual soil respiration R_s , estimated with this function, was about 502.5 g C m⁻². The γ_s , contribution of soil surface efflux to ecosystem respiration, varies from 48% to 71% according to Raich and Schlesinger (1992). R_E, estimated with R_s/γ_s , was about 877.3 \pm 169.6 g C m⁻². Our R_E is close to the upper bound of the estimate. Substituted 49% (Guan et al., 2006) for γ_s above, ecosystem respiration $R_{\rm F}$ of this old-growth forest would be about 1031.6 g C m $^{-2}$. It is very close to 1124 ± 181 g C m⁻² estimated in this paper. Difference in the length of time windows seems to be responsible. Long (yearly or seasonal) windows may introduce a considerable bias in F_{RE} and F_{GPP} estimate (personal communication with Dr. Markus Reichstein). The hybrid time windows, applied in this paper, can minimize the confounding effects of soil water content, temperature and forest phenology (Davidson et al., 1998; Luo et al., 1996; Flanagan et al., 2002).

There are almost no environmental stresses in this area, though the climate is changing as in other parts on this planet (Zhang et al., 2005). The forest growth

only consumes $28.4 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ of the $37.5-42.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ available N (Guo, 1995). The precipitation was 685.8 mm during the investigated period and very close to 700.5 mm, the 20-year average annual precipitation, providing enough water for plant growth. The maximum and minimum daily mean temperature was 25.3 and -23.5 °C providing ideal conditions for rapid growth. Low temperature and surface soil moisture significantly limited soil respiration, while rooting zone soil water provided enough water for plant activities in wintertime (Fig. 6a and b).

There are uncertainties in flux measurement, especially in wintertime, even over flat site. The application of the CO₂ budget equation with advection and pressure terms is limited at present by technique and other problems (Lee, 1998; Massman and Lee, 2002; Aubinet et al., 2003; Feigenwinter et al., 2004; Staebler and Fitzjarrald, 2004). Different treatments with nighttime negative fluxes in dormant periods would bring significant differences in estimates of carbon fluxes. Guan et al. (2006) treated all nighttime negative fluxes as gaps and filled them with temperature response function developed with all valid nighttime $F_{\rm NEE}$ of the whole research period. Difference of cumulative F_{NEE} form October 2002 to March 2003 by these two methods was about -80 g C m^{-2} . This is responsible for significant different cumulative F_{NEE} of



Fig. 12. Relationship between age and yearly DBH increment of *Pinus koraiensis* (a), *Acer mono* (b), *Tilia amarensis* (c) and *Fraxinus mandshurica* (d). The shading portion emphasized dominant age group for corresponding species (adapted from Dai et al., 2002).

between August 2002 and August 2003, -182 g C m^{-2} by Guan et al. (2006) and -308 ± 116 g C m^{-2} in this paper.

The u_* correction is a practical way to minimize underestimates of nighttime net ecosystem exchanges (F_{NEE}) of CO₂ under calm conditions (Goulden et al., 1996; Massman and Lee, 2002). In the literature, researchers often find the u* threshold by visually examining the scatter of nighttime fluxes versus u_* . Finding a u* threshold depends on individual researchers' judgment and different researchers may come to different thresholds from the same data set. The u_* threshold for growth period is 0.2 m s^{-1} in Guan et al. (2006) and is little larger than 0.17 m s⁻¹, the u_* threshold determined with MPT technology (Gu et al., 2004) here. This small difference in u_* changed 15% of the valid data from June to September and explained a difference of -23 g C m^{-2} in cumulative F_{NEE} between us. This shows that objective way to determine u_* threshold is critical important to reduce uncertainties and make results compatible.

Significant uncertainties by treatments with winter nighttime negative fluxes and u_* correction suggest the importance of strict refinement of eddy-covariance data, more research on the behaviors of terms in the CO₂ budget equation and the development of new methodologies of experimental design to account for the entire mass balance in a soil-vegetation-atmosphere volume, especially in dormant periods. Integration of researchers and technologies form different disciplines is critically needed to minimize the uncertainties of application of eddy-covariance technology and to interpret the results, such as the daytime negative flux in dormant seasons shown in Fig. 5.

4. Conclusions

We presented data from a CO_2 flux study over a 200year-old Chinese board-leaved Korean pine mixed forest from August 2002 to August 2003 using the eddycovariance method. Given the importance of old-growth forests as a major terrestrial carbon store and argument concerning their carbon sink strength, this study will help to reduce uncertainties in carbon accounting.

This ecosystem, a net sink of atmospheric CO₂, sequestered $-308 \pm 116 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ during the study period. The estimates of gross carbon gain and loss at this forest were -1432 ± 216 and $1124 \pm 181 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ separately. The seasonal trend of F_{GPP} and R_{E} followed closely the change in V_{AI} and temperature. There was weak photosynthesis in winter while the summer is the most significant season as far as ecosystem carbon balance is concerned. The 90 days of summer contributed 67.0% of $F_{\rm GPP}$ 58.9% of $R_{\rm E}$ of whole year. This study shows that old-growth forest can be strong net carbon sink of atmospheric CO₂.

The carbon metabolism of ecosystems is regulated by environmental factors and hence there are great inter-annual variations in the strength of ecosystem carbon exchange (Flanagan et al., 2002; Paw and Falk, 2003; Baldocchi and Valentini, 2004). There is need to increase the investigation period to accurately describe the status and variation of carbon metabolism for old-growth forests.

There are many uncertainties for determination of absolute values of long-term net carbon exchange even in sites with ideal topography using eddy-covariance method (Massman and Lee, 2002; Baldocchi, 2003). It requires the strict refinement of eddy-covariance data, more research on the behaviors of term in the CO_2 budget equation and the development of new methodologies of experimental design to account for the entire mass balance in a soil-vegetation-atmosphere volume.

Acknowledgements

This paper is a contribution to the ChinaFLUX projects at Changbai Mountains Forest Ecosystem Opened Research Station. This study was supported by the Innovation Study Key Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. KZCX1-SW-01-01A), the State Key Basic Research Project (Grant Nos. 2002CB412501 and 2002CB412502) and Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment Research for Temperate East Asia (RCE-TEA) of Chinese Academy of Sciences. The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Ray Leuning, Dr. Eva Falge and anonymous reviewers for their valuable scientific comments and detailed language corrections. We also thank Dr. Jon. Foley, Navin Ramankutty and Kaiyuan Lee from SAGE of University of Wisconsin-Madison for their help regarding early drafts of this report.

References

- Anthoni, P.M., Unsworth, M.H., Law, B.E., Irvine, J., Baldocchi, D.D., Tuyl, S.V., Moore, D., 2002. Seasonal differences in carbon and water vapor exchange in young and old-growth ponderosa pine ecosystems. Agric. For. Meteorol. 111, 203–222.
- Aubinet, M., Heinesch, B., Yernaux, M., 2003. Horizontal and vertical CO₂ advection in a sloping forest. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 108, 397–417.

- Aubinet, M., Heinesch, B., Longdoz, B., 2002. Estimation of the carbon sequestration by a heterogeneous forest: night flux corrections, heterogeneity of the site and inter-annual variability. Global Change Biol. 8, 1053–1071.
- Baldocchi, D.D., 2003. Assessing ecosystem carbon balance: problems and prospects of the eddy covariance technique. Global Change Biol. 9, 478–492.
- Baldocchi, D.D., Finnigan, J., Wilson, K., Paw, U.K.T., Falge, E., 2000. On measuring net ecosystem carbon exchange over tall vegetation on complex terrain. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 96, 257– 291.
- Baldocchi, D.D., Valentini R., 2004. Geographic and temporal variation of the mechanisms controlling carbon exchange by ecosystems and their sensitivity to environmental perturbations. In: Field, C., Raupach, M. (Eds.), The Global Carbon Cycle: Integrating Humans, Climate, and The Natural World. SCOPE 62, Island Press, pp. 295–315.
- Binkley, D., Stape, J.L., Ryan, M.G., Barnard, H.R., Fownes, J., 2002. Age-related decline in forest ecosystem growth: an individual tree, stand-structure hypothesis. Ecosystem 5, 58–67.
- Buchmann, N., Schulze, E.D., 1999. Net CO₂ and H₂O fluxes of terrestrial ecosystems. Global Biogeochem. Cycles Clim. Syst. 13 (3), 751–760.
- Carey, E.V., Sala, A., Keane, R., Callaway, R.M., 2001. Are old forests underestimated as global carbon sinks? Global Change Biol. 7, 339–344.
- Chen, J.Q., Falk, M., Euskirchen, E., Paw, U.K.T., Suchanek, T.H., Ustin, S.L., Bond, B.J., Brosofske, K.D., Phillips, N., Bi, R., 2002. Biophysical controls of carbon flows in three successional Douglas-fir stands based on eddy-covariance measurements. Tree Physiol. 22, 169–177.
- Cheng, B.R., Xu, G.S., Ding, G.F., Zhang, Y.H., Wang, W., 1984. Litter fall and biological cycling intensity in Coniferous forest and broad leaved Korean pine forest on the northern slope of Changbai Mountains. Res. For. Ecosyst. 4, 19–24 (in Chinese, with English abstract).
- Dai, L.M., Sun, W.Z., Deng, H.B., Xiao, Y.H., 2002. Age structure of main tree species in community of broad-leaved Korean pine forest on northern slope of Changbai Mountains. Sci. Silvae Sinicae 38 (3), 73–77 (in Chinese, with English abstract).
- Davidson, E.A., Belk, E., Boone, R.D., 1998. Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. Global Change Biol. 4, 217–227.
- Dolman, A.J., Moors, E.J., Elbers, J.A., 2002. The carbon uptake of a mid latitude pine forest growing on sandy soil. Agric. For. Meteorol. 111, 157–170.
- Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Olson, R., Anthoni, P., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Ceulemans, R., Clement, R., Dolman, H., Granier, A., Gross, P., Grünwald, T., Hollinger, D., Jensen, N.O., Katul, G., Keronen, P., Kowalski, A., Lai, C.T., Law, B.E., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Moors, E., Munger, J.W., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, Ü., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Tenhunen, J., Tu, K., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., Wofsy, S., 2001. Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange. Agric. For. Meteorol. 107, 43–69.
- Fan, S., Gloor, M., Mahlman, J., Pacala, S., Sarmiento, J., Takahashi, T., Tans, P., 1998. A large terrestrial carbon sink in North America implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models. Science 282, 442–446.
- Feigenwinter, C., Bernhofer, C., Vogt, R., 2004. The influence of advection on the short term CO₂-budget in and above a forest canopy. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 113 (2), 201–224.

- Finnigan, J.J., 1999. A comment on the paper by Lee (1988): "On micrometeorological observations of surface-air exchange over tall vegetation". Agric. For. Meteorol. 97, 55–64.
- Finnigan, J.J., Clement, R., Malhi, Y., Leuning, R., Cleugh, H.A., 2003. A re-evaluation of long-term flux measurement techniques. Part I. Averaging and coordinate rotation. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 107, 1–48.
- Finnigan, J.J., 2004. A re-evaluation of long-term flux measurement techniques. Part II. Coordinate systems. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 113, 1–41.
- Flanagan, L.B., Wever, L.A., Carson, P.J., 2002. Seasonal and interannual variation in carbon dioxide exchange and carbon balance in a northern temperate grassland. Global Change Biol. 8, 599–615.
- Francey, R.J., Tans, P.P., Allison, C.E., Enting, I.G., White, J.W.C., Trolier, M., 1995. Changes in oceanic and terrestrial carbon uptake since. Nature 373, 326–330.
- Fuehrer, P.L., Friehe, C.A., 2002. Flux corrections revisited. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 102, 415–458.
- Gotoh, T., Nakano, T., 2003. Role of pressure in turbulence. J. Stat. Phys. 113, 855–874.
- Goudriaan, J., Van Laar, H.H., 1994. Modelling Potential Crop Growth Processes. Kluwer Academic Publications, Dordrecht, p. 239.
- Goulden, M.L., Munger, J.W., Fan, S.M., Daube, B.C., Wofsy, S.C., 1996. Measurements of carbon sequestration by long-term eddy covariance: methods and a critical evaluation of accuracy. Global Change Biol. 2, 169–182.
- Gower, S.T., McMurtrie, R.E., Murty, D., 1996. Aboveground net primary production decline with stand age: potential causes. Tree 11, 378–382.
- Gu, L.H., Falge, E.M., Boden, T., Baldocchi, D.D., Black, T.A., Saleska, S.R., Suni, T., Verma, S.B., Vesala, T., Wofsy, S.C., Xu, L.K., 2004. Objective threshold determination for nighttime eddy flux filtering. Agric. For. Meteorol. 128, 179–197.
- Guan, D., Wu, J., Zhao, X., Han, S., Yu, G., Sun, X., Jin, C., 2006. CO₂ flux over an old, temperate mixed forest in north-eastern China. Agric. For. Meteorol. 137, 138–149.
- Guo, D.L., 1995. Nitrogen flux of forest floor in the broad-leaved Korean pine forest of Changbai Mountain. Thesis for Master of Sciences. Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang.
- Hollinger, D.Y., Goltz, S.M., Davidson, E.A., Lee, J.T., Tu, K., Valentine, H.T., 1999. Seasonal patterns and environmental control of carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange in an ecotonal boreal forest. Global Change Biol. 5, 891–902.
- Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1980. Korean Pine Forest. Agriculture Press, Beijing.
- Janssens, I.A., Lankreijer, H., Matteucci, G., Kowalski, A.S., Buchmann, N., Epron, D., Pilegaard, K., Kutsch, W., Longdoz, B., Grünwald, T., Montagnani, L., Dore, S., Rebmann, C., Moors, E.J., Grelle, A., Rannik, Ü., Morgenstern, K., Oltchev, S., Clement, R., Guomundsson, J., Minerbi, S., Berbigier, P., Ibrom, A., Moncrieff, J., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Jensen, N.O., Vesala, T., Granier, A., Schulze, E.-D., Lindroth, A., Dolman, A.J., Jarvis, P.G., Ceulemans, R., Valentini, R., 2001. Productivity overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European forests. Global Change Biol. 7, 269–278.
- Jarvis, P.G., 1989. Atmospheric carbon dioxide and forests. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. B 324, 369–392.

- Keeling, R.F., Piper, S.C., Heimann, M., 1996. Global and hemispheric CO₂ sinks deduced from changes in atmospheric O₂ concentration. Nature 381, 218–221.
- Knohl, A., Schulze, E.D., Kolle, O., Buchmann, N., 2003. Large carbon uptake by an unmanaged 250-year-old deciduous forest in Central Germany. Agric. For. Meteor. 118, 151–167.
- Kurpius, M.R., Irvine, J., Law, B.E., Unsworth, M.H., 2003. The influence of stand development on annual carbon exchange in ponderosa pine in eastern Oregon. Eos Trans. AGU 84 (46), Fall Meeting Supplement, Abstract B21H-02.
- Law, B.E., Sun, O.J., Campbell, J., Van Tuyl, S., Thornton, P.E., 2003. Changes in carbon storage and fluxes in a chronosequence of ponderosa pine. Global Change Biol. 9, 510–524.
- Lee, W.H., Deng, K.M., Lee, F., 1981. Study on biomass and primary production of main ecosystem in Changbai Mountains. Res. For. Ecosyst. 2, 34–50 (in Chinese, with English abstract).
- Lee, X.H., 1998. On micrometeorological observations of surface-air exchange over tall vegetation. Agric. For. Meteorol. 91, 39–49.
- Lloyd, J., Taylor, J.A., 1994. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. Funct. Ecol. 8, 315–323.
- Luo, Y.Q., Jackson, R.B., Field, C.B., 1996. Elevated CO₂ increased belowground respiration in California grasslands. Oecologia 108, 130–137.
- Massman, W.J., 2000. A simple method for estimating frequency response correction for eddy covariance systems. Agric. For. Meteorol. 104, 185–198.
- Massman, W.J., Lee, X.H., 2002. Eddy covariance flux corrections and uncertainties in long-term studies of carbon and energy exchanges. Agric. For. Meteorol. 113, 121–144.
- McBean, G.A., Elliott, J.A., 1975. The vertical transports of kinetic energy by turbulence and pressure in boundary layer. J. Atmos. Sci. 32, 753–765.
- Melillo, J.M., Prentice, I.C., Farquhar, G.D., Schulze, E.D., Sala, O.E., 1996. Terrestrial biotic responses to environmental change and feedbacks to climate. In: Houghton, J.T., et al. (Eds.), Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 444–481.
- Miles, N.L., Wyngaard, J.C., Otte, M.J., 2004. Turbulent pressure statistics in the atmospheric boundary layer from large-eddy simulation. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 113, 161–185.
- Moore, C.J., 1986. Frequency response corrections for eddy correlation systems. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 37, 17–35.
- Paw, U.K.T., Baldocchi, D.D., Meyers, T.P., Wilson, K.B., 2000. Correction of eddy-covariance measurements incorporating both advective effects and density fluxes. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 97, 487–511.
- Paw, U.K.T., Falk, M., 2003. Carbon flux partitioning in an old-growth forest: study of seasonal and interannual variation. Eos Trans. AGU, 84 (46), Fall Meeting Supplement, Abstract B51D-0989.
- Raich, J.W., Schlesinger, W.H., 1992. The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to climate. Tellus B 44, 81–99.
- Sigmon, J.T., Knoerr, K.R., Shaughnessy, E.J., 1983. Microscale pressure fluctuations in a mature deciduous forest. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 27, 345–358.
- Staebler, R.M., Fitzjarrald, D.R., 2004. Observing subcanopy CO₂ advection. Agric. For. Meteorol. 122, 139–156.
- Sun, W.Z., Zhao, S.D., 1995. Community features of Tilia-Korean pine forest on northern slope of Changbai Mountains. Chinese J. Ecol. 14 (5), 26–30 (in Chinese, with English abstract).
- Tans, P.P., Fung, I.Y., Takahashi, T., 1990. Observational constraints on the global atmospheric CO₂ budget. Science 247, 1431–1438.

- Wang, M., Han, S., Wang, Y., 2004. Important factors controlling rate of soil respiration in broad leaved Korean pine forest. Chinese J. Ecol. 23, 24–29 (in Chinese, with English abstract).
- Wang, Z., 1980. Main forest types and characteristics of community structure on the northern slope of Changbai Mountains. Res. For. Ecosyst. 1, 1–8 (in Chinese, with English abstract).
- Waring, R.H., Landsberg, J.J., Williams, M., 1998. Net primary production of forests: a constant fraction of gross primary production? Tree Physiol. 18, 129–134.
- Webb, E.K., Pearman, G.I., Leuning, R., 1980. Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc. 106, 85–100.
- Wilczak, J.M., Oncley, S.P., Stage, S.A., 2001. Sonic anemometer tilt correction algorithms. Bound.-Lay. Meteorol. 99, 127–150.

- Wofsy, S.C., Goulden, M.L., Munger, J.W., Fan, S.M., Bakwin, P.S., Daube, B.C., Bassow, S.L., Bazzaz, F.A., 1993. Net exchange of CO₂ in a mid-latitude forest. Science 260, 1314–1317.
- Xu, L.K., Baldocchi, D.D., 2004. Seasonal variations in carbon dioxide exchange over a Mediterranean annual grassland in California. Agric. For. Meteorol. 123, 79–96.
- Yang, H.X., Wang, B.N., Han, J.X., 1985. Quantitative classification of plant species in broad-leaved Korean pine mixed forest on northern slope of Changbai Mountains. Res. For. Ecosyst. 5, 15–30 (in Chinese).
- Zhang, M., Guan, D., Han, S., Wu, J., Zhang, J., Jin, M., Xu, H., He, X., Dai, G., 2005. Climatic dynamics of broad leaved Korean pine forest in Changbai Mountain during the last 22 Years. Chinese J. Ecol. 24, 1007–1012 (in Chinese, with English abstract).
- Zhang, N., Yu, G.R., Yu, Z.L., Zhao, S.D., 2003. Analysis on factors affecting net primary productivity distribution in Changbai Mountains based on process model for landscape scale. Chinese J. Appl. Ecol. 14, 659–664 (in Chinese, with English abstract).